

RECLAMATION

Managing Water in the West

We Invite Your Comments!

Southern Delivery System Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Comments are considered substantive if they:

- Question, with reasonable basis, the accuracy of the information in the document
- Question, with reasonable basis, the adequacy of the environmental analysis
- Present reasonable alternatives other than those presented in the Environmental Impact Statement
- Cause changes or revisions in the alternatives
- Provide new or additional information relevant to the analysis

Would like your name and address withheld from public disclosure? YES NO

Comments:

All alternatives do not adequately address the problem of water quality in all conditions - Drought to flooding. The water quality of the Arkansas River is bad at best now! Reduced flows during drought can only concentrate impurities.

There is no mention of conditions existing relative to sewage problems the City of Colorado Springs has subjected

Please continue on reverse side



U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation

Comments (continued):

on downstream communities & their attention to this has been sorely deficient.

There is no mention of the effects of continued growth & the effects of continued increase in use of more water through the 40 years of use.

The proposed alternate 2 - The most popular does not address the erosion & impact on Fountain Creek - it will create undercutting of stream banks and during peak flows - flood with flood waters.

all alternatives do not address the impacts upon communities downstream - taking clean water away can only drastically increase the concentration of pollutants that already exist and those that increase with the sewage spills Colorado Springs periodically sends our way. Colorado Springs needs to drastically control its growth for the benefit of all

Thank you for your comments

Please send any additional comments to the mailing address, e-mail address, or facsimile number below. All comments must be received by **April 29, 2008**.

Attn: Ms. Kara Lamb
Bureau of Reclamation
Eastern Colorado Area Office
11056 W. County Road 18E
Loveland, CO 80537-9711
E-mail: klamb@gp.usbr.gov
Facsimile: (970) 663-3212