

RECLAMATION

Managing Water in the West

We Invite Your Comments!

Southern Delivery System Draft Environmental Impact Statement

* Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Comments are considered substantive if they:

- Question, with reasonable basis, the accuracy of the information in the document
- Question, with reasonable basis, the adequacy of the environmental analysis
- Present reasonable alternatives other than those presented in the Environmental Impact Statement
- Cause changes or revisions in the alternatives
- Provide new or additional information relevant to the analysis

Would like your name and address withheld from public disclosure? YES NO

Comments: The EIS is a waste of money as used. It does not do any thing to stop the pollution of the Fountain River or the Arkansas River below it, nor does it consider the flood control needed on the Fountain River due to increased water supply. Colorado water law messed up years ago. When water is purchased from a farmer or water company, it should be taken from the river at the same location as

Please continue on reverse side



U.S. Department of the Interior
Bureau of Reclamation

Comments (continued):

The water company took the water from the river. This would increase stream flows, reduce contaminat, ion, and stop some vegetation growth in the river beds.

This water being now arkansas water should be taken out before reaching the arkansas River. when the spring run off happens, if they cannot pump it all at that time, it should be considered flood water and used as makeup water for Kansas.

all Colorado Springs officials should have to walk in the river from Co. spgs. to John Martin Reservoir to experience the pollution they put in the river.

This meeting is a good reason to write the Senators & Representatives of all the states that I have lived in before KS, OK, NM, IA, MO, TN, TX, and Colorado. I saw the Flood of 1965 in Pueblo. that channel was about 4 ft below the top of the cement lined ditch at 4th St Bridge.

Thank you for your comments

one more,

Please send any additional comments to the mailing address, e-mail address, or facsimile number below. All comments must be received by **April 29, 2008**.

Attn: Ms. Kara Lamb
Bureau of Reclamation
Eastern Colorado Area Office
11056 W. County Road 18E
Loveland, CO 80537-9711
E-mail: klamb@gp.usbr.gov
Facsimile: (970) 663-3212