

8 should be able to express their opinions.

9 Thank you.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

□

10

1 ORAL STATEMENT OF MR. BOB ENCK

2 MR. ENCK: My name is Bob Enck. I live  
3 at 36 Ironweed, here in Pueblo, Colorado, which  
4 is not far from Fountain. We moved here in '95,  
5 so I don't know everything there is to know  
6 about the background of the South, you know, of  
7 the system that is going in.

8 I understand that Colorado Springs has  
9 the right to get this water. But my concern is,  
10 if they take it out, wherever they take it out

11 from, the concern is that I hear they are going  
12 to take it out at the dam. That the quality of  
13 water they take out down there should be the  
14 same quality of water that they put back into  
15 Fountain when it comes back down to the Arkansas  
16 River. That is my concern. That is as far as  
17 quality. Then, in terms of quantity, I want to  
18 know how much is that going to boost the water  
19 flow on the -- on Fountain when they take this  
20 big amount of water out up there and shoot it  
21 back down here. Are we going to get the same  
22 amount of water coming back down, or is it going  
23 to be one foot, two feet or three feet higher  
24 than it is now as a normal flow? Those are my  
25 concerns.

□

11

1 ORAL STATEMENT OF MS. MARILYN JUNE

2 MS. JUNE: Why is the Bureau of  
3 Reclamation, a federal agency, basing its  
4 decision for its environmental impact statement,  
5 EIS, on 2300 pages written during the past five  
6 years by an engineering contractor paid by  
7 Colorado Springs? For God's sake, isn't that a  
8 conflict of interest of giant proportions?

9 And a question is, how can this biased  
10 information, because they want to build all  
11 these subdivisions and continue building  
12 subdivisions, and they are going to need all our  
13 water that belongs down here at Pueblo, all our  
14 clean water. How can this biased information be