

11 from, the concern is that I hear they are going
12 to take it out at the dam. That the quality of
13 water they take out down there should be the
14 same quality of water that they put back into
15 Fountain when it comes back down to the Arkansas
16 River. That is my concern. That is as far as
17 quality. Then, in terms of quantity, I want to
18 know how much is that going to boost the water
19 flow on the -- on Fountain when they take this
20 big amount of water out up there and shoot it
21 back down here. Are we going to get the same
22 amount of water coming back down, or is it going
23 to be one foot, two feet or three feet higher
24 than it is now as a normal flow? Those are my
25 concerns.

□

11

1 ORAL STATEMENT OF MS. MARILYN JUNE

2 MS. JUNE: Why is the Bureau of
3 Reclamation, a federal agency, basing its
4 decision for its environmental impact statement,
5 EIS, on 2300 pages written during the past five
6 years by an engineering contractor paid by
7 Colorado Springs? For God's sake, isn't that a
8 conflict of interest of giant proportions?

9 And a question is, how can this biased
10 information, because they want to build all
11 these subdivisions and continue building
12 subdivisions, and they are going to need all our
13 water that belongs down here at Pueblo, all our
14 clean water. How can this biased information be

15 considered anything but biased and unfair? Why
16 is the Bureau siding completely with Colorado
17 Springs? Why? Is this legal?

18 Question. And is it a done deal? The
19 Bureau has stated that it will consider only
20 scientific questions from the public this
21 evening. This is April 2nd at the Art Center in
22 Pueblo. If so, it's a scientific fact that the
23 pollution coming from the Springs down the river
24 to Pueblo at this time includes human feces and
25 chemicals so awful that the river is now totally

□

12

1 contaminated.

2 And the pipeline is not foolproof
3 against Springs' plans for more unlimited and
4 increase in growth.

5 None of the scientific studies that
6 have cost taxpayers \$14 million or more just for
7 the studies and surveys, none of those surveys
8 or studies can even come close to any sort of
9 solution to stopping the pollution in the
10 Fountain Creek, which ends up in Pueblo,
11 contaminating children. Who cares? Nobody.
12 Certainly not the Bureau of Reclamation.

13 And a comment. I have a closing
14 comment. And that is, that humans are the only
15 species -- well, I was told that beavers are
16 something like this, but we control the beavers,
17 but nobody controls the human greed that is

18 involved in this situation.

19 And only -- our human species is the
20 only one that destroys its own habitat. Humans
21 are the only species that destroys its own
22 habitat.

23 And I'm sure that, with all this going
24 on, and all this pollution from unprecedented
25 development for -- to benefit only the wealthy,

□

13

1 that the years to come will prove that, just
2 like global warming, that we're destroying our
3 own habitat.

4 And there's nothing complicated about
5 it that would cost \$14 million to figure out.

6 Thank you.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21