

22
23
24
25

□

14

1 ORAL STATEMENT OF FAUSTINO W. LOPEZ

2 MR. LOPEZ: My concern is on Fountain
3 Creek. I think that something should be done to
4 the flow of Fountain Creek. I know that it will
5 increase by a certain amount of CFIs when the
6 pipe is in complete function.

7 They talk about Williams Creek would be
8 part of it, true. But the erosion and the
9 sediment is something that should be of concern
10 all the way down to the Arkansas River and
11 beyond.

12 I understand that it's expensive, and
13 it probably would cost quite a bit to implement
14 all my suggestions. But I think that it should
15 be diverted in a sense that Fountain Creek will
16 carry all the wastewater from Colorado Springs
17 and above. True, that's the nature of things.
18 But it would increase tremendously as the
19 population in the area increases.

20 My comment on things that should be
21 done would be for flood control, erosion and
22 sediment. I believe that it would be a good
23 intention to put riffraff on both sides of the
24 creek all the way from Fountain, where the

1 Creek. It should be riffraffed and straightened
2 a little bit. Take some of the kinks off the
3 channel. And if the flow, the speed of the flow
4 is a concern, they should put some abutments in
5 the center of the creek to slow down the water.

6 In this way it would induce Colorado
7 Springs to kind of treat their water a little
8 better or care for the discharge that they
9 induce.

10 As the population grows, the
11 development grows, more paving, more gutters,
12 more sewer, a storm sewer would be needed as the
13 development increases. In that respect it
14 should have some settling ponds in different
15 areas.

16 As far as the wetlands are concerned,
17 it could very easily be diverted, some of the
18 water, into the wetlands, which would help to
19 alleviate some of the silt and erosion from the
20 area. I advocate Alternative Number 6 because
21 of that reason.

22 I read in the paper where the cost of
23 building that diversion would be the same as
24 taking the water from the bottom of the dam for
25 the exception of the money that Pueblo West

1 induced by going to the bottom of the dam.

2 As far as the other alternatives are
3 concerned, it is right. The one in Fremont, I
4 think that it would be just as expensive as the
5 one below the confluence and it will be a
6 shorter distance below the confluence than it
7 would be from Fremont County to Colorado
8 Springs.

9 I understand Colorado Springs' position
10 but I'm concerned about the people in the cities
11 down below of the Arkansas that has to put up
12 with these conditions.

13 I was told tonight that the flow, if
14 they go with the Fremont alternative, that it
15 will reduce the amount of water in the
16 reservoir. I don't see why it would, because
17 that water would be removed whether it's removed
18 ahead of the reservoir or below the reservoir.
19 That water would not be in the reservoir. So I
20 don't understand that.

21 I thank you very much, and I appreciate
22 your consideration of these aspects. I remain
23 sincerely Faustino W. Lopez.

24
25

□

1 ORAL STATEMENT OF JIM ADLEY

2 MR. ADLEY: One, I think they should
3 have copies of all the charts that are up as a
4 hand-out. I ended up running back and forth