

1 STATEMENT OF SAL PACE

2 No Address Given

3 THE WITNESS: I'm Sal Pace, representing
4 myself.

5 And after the draft EIS was submitted, I
6 submitted comments in that period of time,
7 written and oral testimony.

8 Since the Supplemental Information Report
9 has been released, the key issue has still not
10 been taken care of. That is the Fountain Creek,
11 including sedimentation, including water quality,
12 including flooding. Therefore, a majority of my
13 concerns on the SDS Project continue, and remain
14 the same as they were previously.

15 Furthermore, it's still clear that
16 Colorado Springs, if they don't take the Fremont
17 County option, have a quarter billion dollar
18 financial advantage coming further downstream.
19 In my mind, that cost savings could do us all
20 good if it all went into fixing up and mitigation
21 on the Fountain Creek to the benefit of citizens
22 and residents both north and south of the El Paso
23 line.

24 And finally, I, as I think about this
25 further, I continue to have greater concerns

1 about Colorado Springs submitting 1041
2 applications prior to a record of decision or
3 final EIS being determined.

4 Although we are told the cost is passed
5 along to the Colorado Springs and to the
6 proponents of SDS and not the citizens of Pueblo,
7 I occasionally accidentally find myself shopping
8 in Colorado Springs, and I too also pay sales tax
9 there. So the cost does get passed on to us.

10 Anyhow, thank you very much for your time.
11 I appreciate the opportunity.