



"Kara Lamb"
<klamb@gp.usbr.gov>
11/17/2008 10:17 AM

To "Heather (AIGPLC) Jackson"
<Heather.Jackson2@aig.com>,
<bill.vanderveer@mwhglobal.com>
cc
bcc

Subject Fwd: SIR, SDS EIS

new SIR comment

----- Message from "Herrmann, Scott J" <scott.herrmann@colostate-pueblo.edu> on Fri, 14 Nov 2008 16:19:24 -0700 -----

To: "'klamb@gp.usbr.gov'" <klamb@gp.usbr.gov>
Subject: SIR, SDS EIS

Comments Regarding the Supplemental Information Report (SIR) of the SDS DEIS:

I would like to point out one major subject that the DEIS and SIR still have not addressed, particularly on page 72 of the SIR under "Aquatic Life." When will USBR and /or the participants speak to the problem of control of the dispersal of exotic species (zebra mussels, quagga mussels, asian clams, etc.) from Pueblo Reservoir? What control options must be included in the DEIS? These questions have been asked previously. Why do you continue to ignore such a potentially significant SDS problem?

Another concern I have relates to what happens to SDS water if Colorado Springs and participants do not demonstrate a need to use such additional water. Do they have the right to sell that SDS water to Aurora, et al. once that raw water is delivered to Upper Williams Creek Reservoir? If so, that means a pipeline sending SDS water out of basin. That was not one of the original intents of the Fryingpan –Arkansas Project.

The UWC and WC Dam Failure Flood Inundation scenarios are a joke, particularly when the engineering consultant states the failure calculations are based on "sunny day" conditions. Dam failures usually occur as a result of heavy, sustained precipitation. Property losses downstream would be huge!!!

Overall, I am concerned about the lack of feedback from USBR and /or the participants regarding previous comments. You as public servants need to interact with the public.

Scott Herrmann, Ph.D.
scott.herrmann@colostate-pueblo.edu